

# New Consistent Truncations of M-theory

Gabriel Larios – IFT UAM-CSIC

XI CPAN Days 2019, Oviedo

**Based on:** GL, P. Ntokos, O. Varela – arXiv:1907.02087  
GL, O. Varela – arXiv:1907.11027

Supergravities tend to come with many fields:

- In  $D = 4$   $\mathcal{N} = 8$ , we have

metric, 28 vectors, 70 scalars, ...

- For  $D > 4$ , we have *infinite* KK towers.

Supergravities tend to come with many fields:

- In  $D = 4$   $\mathcal{N} = 8$ , we have

metric, 28 vectors, 70 scalars, ...

- For  $D > 4$ , we have *infinite* KK towers.

How can we restrict ourselves to a smaller set *consistently*?

# Lessons from pure gravity on $M_4 \times T^1$

Consider  $\{g_{\mu\nu}(x), A_\mu(x), \phi(x)\} \hookrightarrow \hat{g}_{MN}(x, y)$  as

$$d\hat{s}_5^2 = e^{\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{3}}} ds_4^2 + e^{-\frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{3}}} (dy + A)^2$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{MN} = 0 & \iff \begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} &= T_{\mu\nu}(F, \phi) \\ \nabla^\mu \left( e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} F_{\mu\nu} \right) &= 0 \\ \square\phi &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} F^2 \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

# Lessons from pure gravity on $M_4 \times T^1$

Consider  $\{g_{\mu\nu}(x), A_\mu(x), \phi(x)\} \hookrightarrow \hat{g}_{MN}(x, y)$  as

$$d\hat{s}_5^2 = e^{\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{3}}} ds_4^2 + e^{-\frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{3}}} (dy + A)^2$$

so that

$$\hat{R}_{MN} = 0 \iff \begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} &= T_{\mu\nu}(F, \phi) \\ \nabla^\mu \left( e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} F_{\mu\nu} \right) &= 0 \\ \square\phi &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} F^2 \end{aligned}$$

# Lessons from pure gravity on $M_4 \times T^1$

Consider  $\{g_{\mu\nu}(x), A_\mu(x), \phi(x)\} \hookrightarrow \hat{g}_{MN}(x, y)$  as

$$d\hat{s}_5^2 = e^{\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{3}}} ds_4^2 + e^{-\frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{3}}} (dy + A)^2$$

so that

$$\hat{R}_{MN} = 0 \iff \begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} &= T_{\mu\nu}(F, \phi) \\ \nabla^\mu \left( e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} F_{\mu\nu} \right) &= 0 \\ \square\phi &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} F^2 \end{aligned}$$

Is truncating the massive modes consistent?

# Lessons from pure gravity on $M_4 \times T^1$

Consider  $\{g_{\mu\nu}(x), A_\mu(x), \phi(x)\} \longmapsto \hat{g}_{MN}(x, y)$  as

$$d\hat{s}_5^2 = e^{\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{3}}} ds_4^2 + e^{-\frac{2\phi}{\sqrt{3}}} (dy + A)^2$$

so that

$$\hat{R}_{MN} = 0 \iff \begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} &= T_{\mu\nu}(F, \phi) \\ \nabla^\mu \left( e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} F_{\mu\nu} \right) &= 0 \\ \square\phi &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} F^2 \end{aligned}$$

Is truncating the massive modes consistent? ✓

We are keeping the singlets of the U(1)-structure (non-singlets cannot be generated):

$$\hat{g}_{MN}(x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}_{MN}^{(n)}(x) e^{iny/L}$$

Gauntlett and Varela Conjecture [J.Gauntlett, O.Varela, '07]:

*For any supersymmetric solution of  $D = 10$  or  $D = 11$  sugra of type  $\text{AdS}_d \times_w M$ , there is a consistent Kaluza-Klein truncation on  $M$  to a minimal gauged supergravity in  $d$  dimensions.*

Known examples:

- $D = 11$  Sugra on  $\text{AdS}_4 \times SE_7$
- $D = 11$  Sugra on SLAG-3 Flux-Geometry (warped M5-branes)
- Type IIB on general  $\text{AdS}_5 \times_w M_5$



Gauntlett and Varela Conjecture [J.Gauntlett, O.Varela, '07]:

*For any supersymmetric solution of  $D = 10$  or  $D = 11$  sugra of type  $\text{AdS}_d \times_w M$ , there is a consistent Kaluza-Klein truncation on  $M$  to a minimal gauged supergravity in  $d$  dimensions.*

Known examples:

- $D = 11$  Sugra on  $\text{AdS}_4 \times SE_7$
- $D = 11$  Sugra on SLAG-3 Flux-Geometry (warped M5-branes)
- Type IIB on general  $\text{AdS}_5 \times_w M_5$

[Recent proof using Generalised Geometry methods [D.Cassani, G.Josse, M.Petrini, D.Waldram, '19]]

Two routes:

- ① SU(3)-sector of  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  SO(8)-gauged theory in  $D = 4$ .
- ② Deformations of general  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  – preserving solutions.

$\Rightarrow$  Intersection at minimal supergravity on CPW solution.

[R.Corrado, K.Pilch, N.P. Warner, '01]

# $\mathcal{N} = 8$ sugra, the tensor hierarchy and $\Theta_M^\alpha$

The EoM's of the ungauged  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  theory are invariant under global  $E_{7(7)} \subset \text{Sp}(56, \mathbb{R})$  transformations.

For an  $E_{7(7)}$ -invariant Lagrangian, need ancillary higher p-forms in the *tensor hierarchy*:

$$A_{(1)}^M, \quad B_{(2)\alpha}, \quad C_{(3)\alpha}^M, \quad \dots$$

Subgroups of  $E_{7(7)}$  can be gauged, whose generators are picked by the embedding tensor  $\Theta_M^\alpha$ .

We focus on the electric  $\text{SO}(8)$  gauging, where only the 28 vectors that enter the Lagrangian have associated charges.

# The SU(3) sector of SO(8)-sugra

Keeping singlets under  $SU(3) \subset SO(8)$ , find a  $U(1)^2$ -gauged,  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  sugra with a vector- and a hypermultiplet:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the metric} & : ds_4^2, \\ \text{2+4 scalars} & : \varphi, \chi; \phi, a, \zeta, \tilde{\zeta}, \\ \text{2+2 one-forms} & : A^0, A^1, \tilde{A}_0, \tilde{A}_1, \\ \text{5 two-forms} & : B^0, B^2, B^{ab} = B^{(ab)}, \\ \text{4 three-forms} & : C^1, C^{ab} = C^{(ab)} \end{aligned}$$

with the scalars parametrising

$$\frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)} \times \frac{SU(2,1)}{SU(2) \times U(1)} \subset \frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$$

- Only the metric, scalars and electric one-forms enter the Lagrangian.
- The other p-forms are determined by duality relations:

$$\tilde{H}_{\Lambda(2)} = \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda\Sigma} * H_{(2)}^\Sigma + \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda\Sigma} H_{(2)}^\Sigma$$

$$H_{(3)} = *Df(\text{scalars}) , \quad H_{(4)} = h(\text{scalars}) \text{vol}_4$$

- The scalar potential has 6 critical loci, including

$$\mathcal{N} = 8 , \text{SO}(8) , \quad \mathcal{N} = 2 , \text{SU}(3) \times \text{U}(1)$$

# Subsectors within SU(3)

Further truncations include:

- $SU(3) \times U(1)^2$  : hypermultiplet out
- $SU(3) \times U(1)_{v,c,s}$  and  $SU(4)_{v,c,s}$  : triality-inequivalent
- $G_2$  :  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  sugra coupled to a chiral

# Subsectors within SU(3)

Further truncations include:

- $SU(3) \times U(1)^2$  : hypermultiplet out
- $SU(3) \times U(1)_{v,c,s}$  and  $\underline{SU(4)}_{v,c,s}$  : triality-inequivalent
- $G_2$  :  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  sugra coupled to a chiral

$SU(4)_s$  sector: minimal  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauged sugra, with

$$A^1 = -A^0 \equiv \frac{1}{4}\bar{A}, \quad ds_4^2 \equiv \frac{1}{4}d\bar{s}_4^2$$

obeying  $\mathcal{L} = \bar{R}\overline{\text{vol}}_4 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{F} \wedge \bar{*}F + 6g^2\overline{\text{vol}}_4$

# Uplift to $D = 11$

Following [O.Varela, '15], we parametrise  $S^7$  in terms of  $\mathbb{R}^8$  embedding coordinates as

$$\mu^A \mu^B \delta_{AB} = 1$$

and split  $A = (i, a)$  following  $\mathbf{8}_v \rightarrow \mathbf{3} + \bar{\mathbf{3}} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}$ .

The metric reads

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2 = e^{-\varphi} X^{1/3} \Delta_1^{2/3} \left[ ds_4^2 + g^{-2} e^\varphi \Delta_1^{-1} \left( D\mu_i D\mu^i + e^{2\varphi} X^{-1} Y (h^{-1})_{ab} D\mu^a D\mu^b \right) \right. \\ \left. + g^{-2} e^{3\varphi} X^{-1} Y^{-1} (Y - X) \Delta_1^{-2} \left( Y J_{ij}^{(6)} \mu^i D\mu^j + h_{ab} \epsilon^{bc} \mu^a D\mu_c \right)^2 \right].$$



Following [O.Varela, '15], we parametrise  $S^7$  in terms of  $\mathbb{R}^8$  embedding coordinates as

$$\mu^A \mu^B \delta_{AB} = 1$$

and split  $A = (i, a)$  following  $\mathbf{8}_v \rightarrow \mathbf{3} + \bar{\mathbf{3}} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}$ .

The metric reads

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2 = e^{-\varphi} X^{1/3} \Delta_1^{2/3} \left[ ds_4^2 + g^{-2} e^\varphi \Delta_1^{-1} \left( D\mu_i D\mu^i + e^{2\varphi} X^{-1} Y (h^{-1})_{ab} D\mu^a D\mu^b \right) \right. \\ \left. + g^{-2} e^{3\varphi} X^{-1} Y^{-1} (Y - X) \Delta_1^{-2} \left( Y J_{ij}^{(6)} \mu^i D\mu^j + h_{ab} \epsilon^{bc} \mu^a D\mu_c \right)^2 \right].$$

Restricting to smaller subsectors, in adapted coordinates,

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2[\text{SU}(4)_c] = e^{\frac{4}{3}\phi + \varphi} ds_4^2 + g^{-2} \left[ e^{-\frac{2}{3}\phi} ds^2(\mathbb{CP}_+^3) + e^{\frac{4}{3}\phi - 2\varphi} (\boldsymbol{\eta}_+^{(7)} + gA)^2 \right],$$

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2[\text{SU}(4)_s] = \frac{1}{4} ds_4^2 + g^{-2} \left[ ds^2(\mathbb{CP}_-^3) + (\boldsymbol{\eta}_-^{(7)} + \frac{1}{4}g\bar{A})^2 \right],$$

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2[\text{G}_2] = e^{-\varphi} X^{1/3} \Delta_1^{2/3} ds_4^2 + g^{-2} X^{1/3} \Delta_1^{-1/3} \left[ e^{2\varphi} X^{-3} \Delta_1 d\beta^2 + \sin^2\beta ds^2(S^6) \right].$$

Similarly, the three form reads

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_{(3)} = & C^1 \mu_i \mu^i + C_{ab} \mu^a \mu^b - \frac{1}{12} g^{-1} [(B_a{}^a + 2 A^1 \wedge \tilde{A}_1) \delta_{ij} + 4 B^2 J_{ij}^{(6)}] \wedge \mu^i D\mu^j \\ & + \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} [B_{ab} - A^0 \wedge \tilde{A}_0 \delta_{ab} + B^0 \epsilon_{ab}] \wedge \mu^a D\mu^b \\ & + \frac{1}{6} g^{-2} \tilde{A}_1 \wedge J_{ij}^{(6)} D\mu^i \wedge D\mu^j + \frac{1}{2} g^{-2} \tilde{A}_0 \wedge \epsilon_{ab} D\mu^a \wedge D\mu^b + A \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A = & -g^{-3} \Delta_1^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{4\varphi} \chi X^{-1} Y J_{ij}^{(6)} \mu^i D\mu^j \wedge \epsilon_{ab} D\mu^a \wedge D\mu^b \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \chi e^{2\varphi} (Y J_{ij}^{(6)} \mu^i D\mu^j + h_{ab} \epsilon^{bc} \mu^a D\mu_c) \wedge J_{kl}^{(6)} D\mu^k \wedge D\mu^l \\ & - \frac{1}{4} e^{2\varphi} (V_1 \text{Re } \Omega_{ijk}^{(6)} + V_2 \text{Im } \Omega_{ijk}^{(6)}) \wedge \mu^i D\mu^j \wedge D\mu^k \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{12} e^{2\phi} X (v_1 \text{Re } \Omega_{ijk}^{(6)} + v_2 \text{Im } \Omega_{ijk}^{(6)}) D\mu^i \wedge D\mu^j \wedge D\mu^k \right] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the three form reads

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_{(3)} = & C^1 \mu_i \mu^i + C_{ab} \mu^a \mu^b - \frac{1}{12} g^{-1} [(B_a{}^a + 2 A^1 \wedge \tilde{A}_1) \delta_{ij} + 4 B^2 J_{ij}^{(6)}] \wedge \mu^i D\mu^j \\ & + \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} [B_{ab} - A^0 \wedge \tilde{A}_0 \delta_{ab} + B^0 \epsilon_{ab}] \wedge \mu^a D\mu^b \\ & + \frac{1}{6} g^{-2} \tilde{A}_1 \wedge J_{ij}^{(6)} D\mu^i \wedge D\mu^j + \frac{1}{2} g^{-2} \tilde{A}_0 \wedge \epsilon_{ab} D\mu^a \wedge D\mu^b + A\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}A = & -g^{-3} \Delta_1^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{4\varphi} \chi X^{-1} Y J_{ij}^{(6)} \mu^i D\mu^j \wedge \epsilon_{ab} D\mu^a \wedge D\mu^b \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \chi e^{2\varphi} (Y J_{ij}^{(6)} \mu^i D\mu^j + h_{ab} \epsilon^{bc} \mu^a D\mu_c) \wedge J_{kl}^{(6)} D\mu^k \wedge D\mu^l \\ & - \frac{1}{4} e^{2\varphi} (V_1 \underline{\text{Re}} \Omega_{ijk}^{(6)} + V_2 \underline{\text{Im}} \Omega_{ijk}^{(6)}) \wedge \mu^i D\mu^j \wedge D\mu^k \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{12} e^{2\phi} X (v_1 \underline{\text{Re}} \Omega_{ijk}^{(6)} + v_2 \underline{\text{Im}} \Omega_{ijk}^{(6)}) D\mu^i \wedge D\mu^j \wedge D\mu^k \right]\end{aligned}$$

As before,  $\hat{A}_{(3)}$  simplifies (drastically) in some subsectors. E.g.:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_{(3)}[\mathbf{G}_2] = & C_1 \sin^2 \beta + C_{88} \cos^2 \beta + 4g^{-1} \sin \beta \cos \beta B_{77} \wedge d\beta \\ & + g^{-3} \chi \Delta_1^{-1} \sin^2 \beta \left[ e^{2\varphi} X^{-1} \Delta_1 \mathcal{J} \wedge d\beta \right. \\ & \left. + X^2 \sin \beta \cos \beta \operatorname{Re} \Omega + e^{2\varphi} X \sin^2 \beta \operatorname{Im} \Omega \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Upon using the duality relations, can give redundancy-free field strengths. E.g.:

$$\hat{F}_{(4)}[\mathrm{SU}(4)_s] = \frac{3}{8} g \overline{\operatorname{vol}}_4 - \frac{1}{4} g^{-2} \bar{*}\bar{F} \wedge \mathbf{J}_-^{(7)}.$$

# A special case: Minimal supergravity on CPW

It is well known that [R.Corrado, K.Pilch, N.P. Warner, '01]

$[\mathcal{N} = 2, \text{SU}(3) \times \text{U}(1)_c]$  – point  $\longleftrightarrow$  CPW solution in  $D = 11$

# A special case: Minimal supergravity on CPW

It is well known that [R.Corrado, K.Pilch, N.P. Warner, '01]

$[\mathcal{N} = 2, \text{SU}(3) \times \text{U}(1)_c]$  – point  $\longleftrightarrow$  CPW solution in  $D = 11$

What is the minimal theory predicted by the GV conjecture?

# A special case: Minimal supergravity on CPW

It is well known that [R.Corrado, K.Pilch, N.P. Warner, '01]

$[\mathcal{N} = 2, \text{SU}(3) \times \text{U}(1)_c]$  – point  $\hookrightarrow$  CPW solution in  $D = 11$

What is the minimal theory predicted by the GV conjecture?

The  $D = 4$  theory admits a minimal truncation if we

- 1 Fix scalars to their vevs.
- 2 Identify  $A^0 = -3A^1 \equiv \frac{1}{2}\bar{A}$  (also,  $g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ ).

# A special case: Minimal supergravity on CPW

Implementing this on the uplifting formulae provides a new family of consistent M-theory solutions:

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2 = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{-2/3} (1 + 2 \sin^2 \alpha)^{2/3} \left[ d\bar{s}_4^2 + g^{-2} \left[ 2 d\alpha^2 + \frac{6 \cos^2 \alpha}{1 + 2 \sin^2 \alpha} ds^2(\mathbb{CP}^2) + \frac{18 \sin^2 \alpha \cos^2 \alpha}{1 + 8 \sin^4 \alpha} \eta'^2 + \frac{1 + 8 \sin^4 \alpha}{(1 + 2 \sin^2 \alpha)^2} \left( D\psi' - \frac{3 \cos^2 \alpha}{1 + 8 \sin^4 \alpha} \eta' \right)^2 \right] \right],$$

$$\hat{F}_{(4)} = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{3}} \overline{\text{vol}}_4 + \frac{g^{-3}}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ -\frac{\cos^2 \alpha (7 - 10 \cos 2\alpha + \cos 4\alpha)}{(1 + 2 \sin^2 \alpha)^2} d\alpha \wedge D\psi' \wedge \text{Re } \Omega' - \frac{6 \cos^4 \alpha}{(1 + 2 \sin^2 \alpha)^2} d\alpha \wedge \eta' \wedge \text{Re } \Omega' + \frac{6 \sin \alpha \cos^3 \alpha}{1 + 2 \sin^2 \alpha} D\psi' \wedge \eta' \wedge \text{Im } \Omega' \right] + \frac{g^{-2}}{2\sqrt{3}} \left[ \frac{2 \sin \alpha \cos^3 \alpha}{1 + 2 \sin^2 \alpha} \bar{F} \wedge \text{Re } \Omega' + \cos \alpha \bar{*} \bar{F} \wedge (\cos \alpha \mathbf{J}' - \sin \alpha d\alpha \wedge \eta') \right].$$



# The $G$ -structures route

For  $\bar{A} = 0$ , the CPW solution is an  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  AdS<sub>4</sub> background.

⇒ included in the GMPS classification [M.Gabella, D.Martelli,  
A.Passias, J.Sparks, '12]

GMPS considered M-theory backgrounds

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2 = e^{2\Delta} \left( ds^2(\text{AdS}_4) + ds_7^2 \right), \quad \hat{F}_{(4)} = m \text{vol}(\text{AdS}_4) + F_{(4)},$$

admitting two solutions of Killing's spinor equation,  $\delta_\epsilon \Psi_M = 0$ .

# The $G$ -structures route

For  $\bar{A} = 0$ , the CPW solution is an  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  AdS<sub>4</sub> background.

⇒ included in the GMPS classification [M.Gabella, D.Martelli,  
A.Passias, J.Sparks, '12]

GMPS considered M-theory backgrounds

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2 = e^{2\Delta} \left( ds^2(\text{AdS}_4) + ds_7^2 \right), \quad \hat{F}_{(4)} = m \text{vol}(\text{AdS}_4) + F_{(4)},$$

admitting two solutions of Killing's spinor equation,  $\delta_\epsilon \Psi_M = 0$ .

Out of the spinor bilinears,

$$ds_7^2 = ds_{\text{SU}(2)}^2 + E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2,$$

$$F_{(4)} = \frac{1}{\|\xi\|} E_1 \wedge d \left( e^{3\Delta} \sqrt{1 - \|\xi\|^2} J_1 \right) - m \frac{\sqrt{1 - \|\xi\|^2}}{\|\xi\|} J_1 \wedge E_2 \wedge E_3,$$

with  $E_1 = \frac{1}{4} \|\xi\| (d\psi + \mathcal{A})$  dual to the Reeb vector field, and the SU(2)-structure governed by torsion conditions.

# The $G$ -structures route

Gauging the Reeb direction,  $E_1 \mapsto \tilde{E}_1 = \frac{1}{4} \|\xi\| (d\psi + \mathcal{A} - g\bar{A})$ , consider [J.Gauntlett, O.Varela, '07]:

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2 = e^{2\Delta} \left( ds_4^2 + ds_{\text{SU}(2)}^2 + \tilde{E}_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2 \right),$$

$$\hat{F}_{(4)} = m \text{vol}_4 + \tilde{F}_{(4)} - \alpha \wedge g\bar{F} - \beta \wedge g * \bar{F}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^2(M_7).$$

# The $G$ -structures route

Gauging the Reeb direction,  $E_1 \mapsto \tilde{E}_1 = \frac{1}{4}\|\xi\|(d\psi + \mathcal{A} - g\bar{A})$ , consider [J.Gauntlett, O.Varela, '07]:

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2 = e^{2\Delta} \left( ds_4^2 + ds_{\text{SU}(2)}^2 + \tilde{E}_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2 \right),$$

$$\hat{F}_{(4)} = m \text{vol}_4 + \tilde{F}_{(4)} - \alpha \wedge g\bar{F} - \beta \wedge g * \bar{F}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^2(M_7).$$

From Bianchi and EoM for  $\hat{F}_{(4)}$ ,

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{4}e^{3\Delta}\sqrt{1 - \|\xi\|^2} J_1, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{4}e^{3\Delta}(J_3 - \|\xi\|E_2 \wedge E_3).$$

# The $G$ -structures route

Gauging the Reeb direction,  $E_1 \mapsto \tilde{E}_1 = \frac{1}{4}\|\xi\|(d\psi + \mathcal{A} - g\bar{A})$ , consider [J.Gauntlett, O.Varela, '07]:

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2 = e^{2\Delta} \left( ds_4^2 + ds_{\text{SU}(2)}^2 + \tilde{E}_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2 \right),$$

$$\hat{F}_{(4)} = m \text{vol}_4 + \tilde{F}_{(4)} - \alpha \wedge g\bar{F} - \beta \wedge g * \bar{F}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^2(M_7).$$

From Bianchi and EoM for  $\hat{F}_{(4)}$ ,

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{4}e^{3\Delta}\sqrt{1 - \|\xi\|^2} J_1, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{4}e^{3\Delta}(J_3 - \|\xi\|E_2 \wedge E_3).$$

Consistent with Einstein,

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{ab} = 0, \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha 8} \sim \nabla_\gamma \bar{F}_\alpha{}^\gamma, \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta},$$

# The $G$ -structures route

Gauging the Reeb direction,  $E_1 \mapsto \tilde{E}_1 = \frac{1}{4}\|\xi\|(d\psi + \mathcal{A} - g\bar{A})$ , consider [J.Gauntlett, O.Varela, '07]:

$$d\hat{s}_{11}^2 = e^{2\Delta} \left( ds_4^2 + ds_{\text{SU}(2)}^2 + \tilde{E}_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2 \right),$$

$$\hat{F}_{(4)} = m \text{vol}_4 + \tilde{F}_{(4)} - \alpha \wedge g\bar{F} - \beta \wedge g * \bar{F}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^2(M_7).$$

From Bianchi and EoM for  $\hat{F}_{(4)}$ ,

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{4}e^{3\Delta}\sqrt{1 - \|\xi\|^2} J_1, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{4}e^{3\Delta}(J_3 - \|\xi\|E_2 \wedge E_3).$$

Consistent with Einstein,

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{ab} = 0, \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha 8} \sim \nabla_\gamma \bar{F}_\alpha{}^\gamma, \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta},$$

and susy

$$\delta_\epsilon \Psi_m = 0, \quad \delta_\epsilon \Psi_\mu = \delta\psi_\mu^i \otimes e^{\Delta/2} \chi_i.$$

Today we showed:

- Some systematics about the “nesting” of  $D = 4$  theories within  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  SO(8)-gauged supergravity.
- A new  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  minimal supergravity whose embedding is not driven by group-theoretical considerations.
- More instances of the GV conjecture for any  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  AdS<sub>4</sub> background.

Many thanks for your  
attention!!





# Details on $SU(3) \hookrightarrow SO(8)$

$SU(3)$  commutes with  $SU(1,1) \times SU(2,1)$  within  $E_{7(7)}$

$$\text{Scalar coset} = \frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)} \times \frac{SU(2,1)}{SU(2) \times U(1)}$$

For the *restricted* tensor hierarchy, use the  $SU(3)$ -invariant tensors within  $\mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{R}^6 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\mathcal{A}^{ij} = A^1 J^{(6)ij} , \quad \mathcal{A}^{ab} = A^0 \epsilon^{ab} ,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij} = \frac{1}{3} \tilde{A}_1 J_{(6)ij} , \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ab} = \tilde{A}_0 \epsilon_{ab} ,$$

$$\mathcal{B}_i{}^j = -\frac{1}{12} B_a{}^a \delta_i^j + \frac{1}{3} B^2 J^{(6)ij} , \quad \mathcal{B}_a{}^b = \frac{1}{2} B_a{}^b - \frac{1}{2} B^0 \epsilon_a{}^b ,$$

$$\mathcal{C}^{ij} = C^1 \delta^{ij} , \quad \mathcal{C}^{ab} = C^{ab} ,$$

# Geometry of $S^7$

$S^1 \hookrightarrow S^7 \rightarrow D^5$  perspective:

$$\mu^i = \cos \alpha \tilde{\mu}^i, \quad \mu^7 = \sin \alpha \cos \psi, \quad \mu^8 = \sin \alpha \sin \psi$$

$S^5$  equipped with natural  $SE_5$  structure:

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(5)} = J_{ij}^{(6)} \tilde{\mu}^i d\tilde{\mu}^j, \quad \mathbf{J}^{(5)} = \frac{1}{2} J_{ij}^{(6)} d\tilde{\mu}^i \wedge d\tilde{\mu}^j, \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(5)} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{ijk}^{(6)} \tilde{\mu}^i d\tilde{\mu}^j \wedge d\tilde{\mu}^k$$

$\Downarrow$

$$d\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(5)} = 2\mathbf{J}^{(5)}, \quad d\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(5)} = 3i\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(5)} \wedge \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(5)},$$

$$\mathbf{J}^{(5)} \wedge \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(5)} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(5)} \wedge \mathbf{J}^{(5)} \wedge \mathbf{J}^{(5)} = \frac{1}{4} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{(5)} \wedge \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(5)} \wedge \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(5)} = \text{vol}(S^5)$$

So that

$$ds^2(S^5) = ds^2(\mathbb{CP}^2) + (\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(5)})^2 = ds^2(\mathbb{CP}^2) + (d\tau + \sigma)^2$$

For CPW,  $\psi = \psi'$ ,  $\tau = \tau' - \frac{1}{3}\psi'$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}' = e^{i(\psi + \frac{\pi}{4})} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(5)}$ .