Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplement 00 (2014) 1–9

Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplement

High precision energy calibration with resonant depolarization at VEPP-4M collider ☆

V.E. Blinov[a,b,c], A.V. Bogomyagkov[a,b], V.P. Cherepanov[a], V.A. Kiselev[a], E.B. Levichev[a,c], S.I. Mishnev[a], A.I. Mikaiylov[a], N.Yu. Muchnoi[a,b], S.A. Niktin[a], I.B. Nikolaev[a,b], D.M. Nikolenko[a], K.Yu. Todyshev[a,b], D.K. Toporkov[a], G.M. Tumaikin[a], A.G. Shamov[a,b], E.I. Shubin[a], V.N. Zhilich[a,b],

[a] *Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia*
[b] *Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia*
[c] *Novosibirsk State Technical University, Novosibirsk, Russia*

Abstract

At the VEPP-4M collider the record accuracy of 10^{-6} of an absolute beam energy calibration was achieved with the resonant depolarization technique in the energy range including the J/ψ, $\psi(2S)$, $\psi(3770)$ resonances as well as the τ - lepton production threshold. This report discusses the methods, equipments and results.

Keywords: VEPP-4M, KEDR, resonance depolarization, Touschek polarimeter, Compton backscattering, beam energy measurement

1. Introduction

VEPP-4M is a 366 m mono-ring collider with "two on two" electron and positron colliding bunches with four interaction points (Fig. 1) [1]. Three of them are parasitic ones where electrostatic separation of electron and positron orbits is applied. Collider ring is a part of the VEPP-4 complex which includes also the Injector facility with the booster storage ring VEPP-3. VEPP-4M is designed for the center-of-mass energy range from 2 up to10 GeV with the universal magnetic detector KEDR [2].

The program of the detector is focused on the study of $\psi-$, $\Upsilon-$ mesons and $\gamma\gamma-$ physics. Since 2002 the goal of the first series of the experiments was the precise mass measurements of J/ψ-, $\psi(2S)$- [3], $\psi(3770)$- [4], D- [5] mesons and τ lepton [6]. Similar experiments are important because they test theoretical models and establish the bench marks on the mass scale of elementary particles as well as on the energy scale of a given collide. Also the data on masses help in an absolute calibration of momentum measurements in detector tracking systems.

In our experiments the resonant depolarization technique (RD) was applied for precise beam energy calibration. This report discusses the methods, equipments and results.

2. Energy measurement methods at VEPP-4M

2.1. Resonant depolarization

Methodological support of physical experiments on VEPP-4 is maintained at a high level. The most precise method of the absolute measurement of the mean energy of particles in VEPP-4 is based on the resonant depolarization technique (RD) which was proposed and implemented for the first time in BINP [7]. This ap-

☆Work supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant 11-02-01422-a)

Email addresses: S.A.Nikitin@inp.nsk.su (S.A. Niktin), I.B.Nikolaev@inp.nsk.su (I.B. Nikolaev)



Figure 1: The VEPP-4 Complex with the elements of the Touschek polarimeter (*DC* , the counters of the distributed system of Touschek particle registration), the M∅ller polarimeter based on the internal polarized target (*ITP*), the depolarizer kickers as well as the Compton Back Scattering monitor (*CBS*).

proach was widely used thereafter both at the BINP and in other laboratories throughout the world.

In an ideal storage ring with the planar orbits, the average energy of electrons in a beam E is related to the average spin precession frequency Ω by the simple equation:

$$E = mc^2\gamma = mc^2 \cdot \frac{q_0}{q'} \cdot \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega_0} - 1\right) = 440.64843(3) \cdot \nu\, [MeV],$$

with q' and q_0, the anomalous and normal parts of the gyromagnetic ratio; ω_0, the revolution frequency; $\nu = \gamma q'/q_0$, the spin tune parameter. Limiting accuracy of the energy determination by the spin frequency $\delta E/E \approx 7.8 \cdot 10^{-8}$ is due to errors in knowledge of the fundamental constants. To measure Ω one needs to have a polarized beam in a storage ring, a system to observe the beam polarization as well as a system for enforced beam depolarization at the external spin resonance.

A state of the VEPP-4M beam polarization at energies up to 2 GeV is observed by comparison of the Touschek electron/positron counting rate from the polarized and unpolarized bunches separated by a half turn (the "two bunch" method) [8]. It allows to significantly diminish the systematic errors related to variations of the beam lifetime and beam sizes. The system of scintillation counters installed at several azimuths and put into the dynamic aperture provides a total counting rate ~ 250 kHz/mA^2 at the distance of counters to the beam



Figure 2: Depolarization jump in the ratio of the Touschek electron counting rates relating to the polarized bunch and the unpolarized one during the RD scan at a depolarizer frequency scanning rate (in a equivalent beam energy units) of about 300 eV/s .

orbit $\approx$ 1 cm. The relative counting rate experiences a jump $\sim$ 1% at the moment of depolarization proportional to squared level of polarization.

The two matched striplines of the VEPP-4 kicker are used to create a TEM wave propagating towards the beam. The signal source is a computer controlled frequency synthesizer [8]. The reference frequency signal for the synthesizer as well as for the VEPP-4M RF system is generated by the rubidium frequency standard (10^{-10}).Scan rate and the TEM wave amplitude are tuned to provide the depolarization time $\sim$ 1 second. Typical behavior of the measured effect in a time and the depolarization jump are shown in Fig.2.

An absolute energy calibration accuracy by the RD method is of a record level: $\delta E/E \sim 10^{-6}$. It is determined by the spin tune spread $\delta\nu/\nu \sim 5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ due to quantum diffusion of particle trajectories in the presence of a quadratic non-linearity of the VEPP-4M guide field. To date more than 3500 RD calibrations has been performed.

2.2. *CBS beam energy monitor*

The instantaneous energy of a beam is measured by the RD method. But our measurements show that the VEPP-4M energy can vary in 1 day within a range of several tens of kiloelectron-volts, i.e., by a value of more than 10^{-5}. It is connected with both the magnetic cycles and the temperature instability of the ring (daily and seasonal). For monitoring the beam energy in the experiment with the accumulation of statistical data in the KEDR detector of VEPP-4M, as well as in some experiments at the Deuteron facility (VEPP-3), the method of Compton back scattering (CBS) is used. First beam energy measurements based on CBS was made at the



Figure 3: Fragment of the energy spectrum of backscattered photons measured by the HPGe detector.

BESSY-I and BESSY-II SR storage rings in Berlin. In 2005 this method was realized at VEPP-4M, for the first time for colliders [9]. Since then, it is a routine instrument for monitoring the VEPP-4M beam energy. At $E \leq 2$ GeV it was achieved a record accuracy about $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ in determination of the energy by this method for a half hour of scattered photon statistics acquisition. The method consists in measurement of the CBS spectrum edge (ω_{max}) related to the electron beam energy:

$$E = \frac{\omega_{max}}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2}{\omega_{inc}\omega_{max}}}\right),$$

ω_{inc} is the incident photon energy. The infrared CO_2 laser with the wave length 10.6 μm and 50 W CW power is used for radiation generation. The laser spot size in the interaction area is approximately 10 times larger than the electron beam horizontal transverse size to provide a correct measurement of average beam energy. Maximal energy of γ-quanta scattered towards the High Purity Germanium (HPGe) detector lies in the range 4-6 MeV. At first, the available γ-ray sources provided the HPGe energy scale calibration only in the $0.5 - 3$ MeV range. Calibration at the 6000 keV edge was made by extrapolation of the low energy data or using RD data of the VEPP-4M energy. Extrapolation gave $\sim$ 100 keV difference between CBS and RD energy measurements. At present, we have the 6.13 MeV γ-quanta source in conjunction with a precise pulse generator. It solves a problem of the independent energy scale calibration. In Fig.3 the experimental spectrum is shown with the fitting applied to its edge. The "edge place" parameter is determined with a relative statistical accuracy $< 3 \cdot 10^{-5}$, while the "edge width" parameter has a statistical uncertainty of about 3%. These parameters together with the energy scale calibration are used to obtain the on-line data of the VEPP-4M beam energy and beam energy spread.

The example of joint energy measurements by the RD and CBS methods performed during the tau mass measurement experiment is shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4: Joint energy measurements with RD and CBS in 2006 April runs. RD point markers are strongly increased in sizes to be more noticeable and do not correspond to a real uncertainty of the measurements.

3. Beam polarization

In the beam energy range $1.5 \div 1.9$ GeV the VEPP-3 booster storage ring serves as a source of polarized particles for the VEPP-4M collider. The energy scaling of the radiative polarization time in VEPP-3 and VEPP-4M as well as the values of this parameter at two characteristic energies are presented in Tab.1. There is no possibiliy to obtain the polarization directly in the collider at the mentioned energies. In the case of injection of the polarized beam from the booster a positive fact is a large radiative relaxation time of the depolarization processes related to the VEPP-4M field imperfections. Using the new method [10] developed by us and based on measuring the asymmetry in scattering of polarized beam electrons on the internal target of the thickhness of $\sim 5 \times 10^{11}$ electron/cm^2 formed by the jet of polarized deuterium atoms from the Atomic Beam Source of Deuteron Facility we studied the radiative polarization extent achieved in VEPP-3 in the beam energy region near the tau production threshold and the $\psi(2S)$ peak (see Fig.5).

The important result was an observation of the significant depolarizing influence of the spin resonances associated with the spin ($\nu = \gamma a$, $a = (g-2)/2$) and betatron (ν_x, ν_y) tunes. The polarization appeared to be small in a wide range below 1840 MeV down to the τ production threshold. Taking into account this result we injected the polarized beam into VEPP-4M at 1850 MeV and then deaccelerated the beam down to the τ threshold where the RD technique was applied for the τ mass measurement. In the energy region of the J/psi -resonance

Ring	VEPP-3	VEPP-4M
Scaling with Beam Energy (τ_p[h], E[GeV])	$\tau_p = \frac{12}{E^5}$	$\tau_p = \frac{1540}{E^5}$
τ_p@1.55 GeV	1.34 h	172 h
τ_p@1.85 GeV	33 min	70 h

Table 1: The Sokolov-Ternov polarization time.



Figure 5: Measured beam polarization vs. the VEPP-3 energy. The spin resonances marked are:$E \approx 1815$ MeV ($\nu_x - \nu = 1$), $E \approx 1825$ MeV ($\nu_y - \nu = 1$) and $E = 1763$ MeV ($\nu = 4$, the integer resonance)

there is no problems with obtainig the polarization in VEPP-3. During the radiative polarization process the special system automatically controls the VEPP-3 betatron tune working point keeping it far enough from the dangerous combination spin resonances. In practics, the time spent for polarization is noticeably less than $2.5\tau_p$ (see Tab.1) and makes up 5000 s and 2000 s at 1.55 GeV and 1.85 GeV respectively. Resulting polarization extent is still sufficent for precise energy calibration with the RD technique.

The vertical spin projection of polarized positrons injected at 1.85 GeV is 1.5 times less than that of electrons (having the analogous projection close to 1). It gives a design decrease of depolarization jump in the RD technique by a factor of 2.5. With the aim to eliminate this defect we installed and applied the 2.5 T·m pulse solenoid at the VEPP-3-VEPP-4M beam-line section before the outlet 90° bend magnets. As result, the depolarization jump for positrons increased by a factor 2. This contributed to improvement of an accuracy in the electron-positron energy gap measurement (~ 1 keV) which is important for the systematic error study.



Figure 6: Construction of the Touschek polarimeter insertion with scintillator counters and depolarizer plates.

4. Touschek polarimeter

There are three Touschek polarimeter insertions at the VEPP-4M ring. The transverse cross-section of the vacuum chamber in one of these insertions containing the scintillation counters and depolarizer plates is shown in Fig.6. Scintillation counters can be moved towards a beam orbit with the help of stepper motors. The shown Touschek insertion is arranged at the VEPP-4M technical section. Two more TEM wave-based depolarizers and two scintillation counter pairs are used separately at different azimuths of the ring in the experimental hall.

The plot in Fig.7 demonstrates the calculated and measured dependences of counting rate on a distance from the counter to orbit position. We measured the Touschek counting rate in a wide range of VEPP-4M energy (Fig.8). The resulting energy dependence normalized on a beam volume and a square of bunch current differs a little from the theoretical one $\propto E^{-3}$ in the power index. The assumed reasons of this discrepancy are inhomogeneity of the experimental conditions with changing energy and uncertainty in definition of the effective beam angular spread [11].

Also we experimentally studied a behavior of depolarization jump magnitude with changing betatron coupling in comparison with the theoretical calculations [11] (Fig.9). According to our estimate the depolarization effect in the counting rate of the Touschek particles decreases with an increase of the energy as E^{-4}. Because of a slump in the counting rate and depolarization jump the Touschek polarimeter becomes non-relevant at the VEPP-4M energies above 4 GeV.

Type	W_k	dE/dt, kev/sec	σ_E, keV	ΔE, keV	Relative width σ_d	σ_s
"CLUB"	10^{-6}	10	2.1	10		
"J/ψ"	$5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	0.3	0.4	2		
"CPT"	$4 \cdot 10^{-8}$	0.005	0.05	0.002		

Table 2: Operation modes of the depolarizer. "CLUB": quick energy calibrations in regions of a resonance substructure. "J/ψ": most precise calibrations in narrow resonance peaks. "CPT": a precise comparison of the spin frequencies of electron and positron. W_k is the resonant harmonic amplitude [12] of a perturbation generated by the depolarizer; dE/dt is the scan rate; σ_E is the dynamic widening of the depolarizer line; ΔE is the spin frequency resolution.



Figure 7: (1) Measured and (2,3) calculated counting rates of the scintillation counter versus the distance between the counter and beam orbit (A). The parameters of calculation are the 1.1% energy aperture and the betatron coupling coefficient (the ratio of the vertical and horizontal emittanses) of $\mathcal{E}_y/\mathcal{E}_x \approx 0.033$ (the curve 2) and ≈ 0.028 (the curve 3). The parameters of the experiment are $E = 1.55$ GeV and the beam current $I = 3.0 \to 2.8$ mA.

5. Tuning of depolarizer

Efficiency of depolarizer is determined through the so-called spin response factor [12] which depends on azimuth of its location and beam energy and can vary through several orders (Fig.10). This fact inluences on a choice of a depolarizater arrangement (compare the factor values of two kickers at different azimuths in Fig.10). Also the efficiency depends on a rate of frequency scan. Accurate tuning of depolarizer is important because of a danger of the spin sideband resonances $\nu + l \cdot \nu_H \pm \nu_d = k$ related to the guide field ripples $H = \bar{H} + \Delta H \cos \nu_H \theta$ (ν_d is the depolarizer frequency in the units of ω_0). The 50 Hz ripples at $\Delta H/H = 20$ ppm can lead to a systematic error of 1.5×10^{-5} ($E = 1.85$ GeV) in energy determination because of small diffrer-



Figure 8: Measured energy dependence of the normalized counting rate of Touschek electrons $\propto E^{-2.2\pm0.2}/V_b$, where V_b is a beam volume.

ence between the depolarization rates at the first modulation and main external spin resonances (see Fig.11) [13]. To avoid the error caused by depolarization at the modulation spin resonances we thoroughly calculate the depolarizer efficiency and properly tune the scan mode. In practice, we suppressed the ripples in the VEPP-4M field to below a 10 ppm level that yields $\tau^{(1)}/\tau_0 \approx 8$. For comparison, at the hypothetical FCC storage ring with a 90 km perimeter the 50 Hz ripples at $E = 45$ GeV mean a significant systematic error of 6.5 MeV (1.45×10^{-4}) which nevertheless is unlikely to be admitted because of too much assumed ratio $\tau^{(1)}/\tau_0 \approx 10^3$ at $\Delta H/H \sim 10$ ppm.

The table illustrates three main scan modes we use. Interesting detail is seen in the scan(Fig.12) with highest resolution in depolarization frequency (2×10^{-9}) [14, 15]. Width of a long-drawn depolarization jump corresponds to an own spin line width in a beam estimated as 5×10^{-7}.

The experiment on the thrice repeated partial depolar-



Figure 9: Calculated jump versus the "inverse" coupling parameter $k \approx \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_x/\mathcal{E}_y}$ for two polarization degrees at $E = 1.85$ GeV. The points are the measured values of the depolarization effect.



Figure 10: Spin Response Function F^ν for $E = 1548MeV$(left) and $E = 1843MeV$ (right) versus the VEPP-4M azimuth [13]. The rate of resonant depolarization is $\tau_d^{-1} \propto \varphi_\perp^2 |F^\nu|^2$. At one passage of the depolarizer the spin rotation angle is $\varphi_\perp$. Spin Response Function F^ν takes into account a depolarization effect of vertical betatron oscilations exited by the depolarizer kick. In different cases $|F^\nu|^2$ may be < 1 or achieve $\sim 10^2$ and more.

ization with changing scan direction demonstrates correctness of our tuning (Fig.13). All three measured energy values are in the 6 keV interval (3×10^{-6}) which could be caused by the guide field drift.

6. Accuracy and stability

Questions of accuracy concern the determination of the mean energy of each of colliding beams, the determination of the center-of-mass energy as well as the energy stability. Various sources of systematic errors listed here were analyzed [16, 17, 18, 19**?**].

- Groups of error sources:
  - a mean energy value determination basing on a measured spin frequency;
  - an energy stability in time domains between energy calibrations;
  - determination of the produced particles energy in a Center-of-Mass system basing on an energy of one of colliding beams measured with RD.



Figure 11: Ratio of the depolarization times at the first modulation and main spin resonances ($l = 1$ and $l = 0$) versus the relative amplitued of the 50 Hz guide field ripples.



Figure 12: Depolarization process at fine scanning with a rate of 2.5 eV/s and with a depolarization frequency resolution of 2×10^{-9} . The depolarization jump is "long-drawn" due to the sensitivity of fine scanning to the spin frequency spread of 5×10^{-7} in a beam.

- Methods of accounting:
  - correction of measurement data;
  - declaration of uncertainty.

- Sources of errors:
  - radial orbit distortions (non-stability of currents in magnet coils, temperature variations, geomagnetic storms, solar and lunar daily geomagnetic variations *etc*);
  - vertical orbit bumps at the sections without bend magnets;
  - a violation of the simple energy-spin tune relation (the random perturbations of vertical orbit, the weak longitudinal magnetic fields, the vertical orbit bumps at the sections with bend magnets);
  - an azimuthal dependence of beam energy due to radiative losses;
  - effect of beam parameters in IP (a momentum



Figure 13: The process of the three-fold RD energy calibration using the method of partial depolarization of one and the same beam. The scan direction (up or down in frequency) was changed after every next depolarization jump.

spread, an inaccurate colliding beam convergence, a parasitic vertical dispersion, the VEPP-4M Final Focus (FF) chromaticity, a beam potential *etc*).

In the beginning of our experiments with RD we revealed significant daily energy oscillations (Fig.14) which were diminished by an order later on. Inaccurate compensation of the longitudinal magnetic field of the KEDR detector can lead to significant error in energy determination by spin frequency. We measured the dependence of the spin tune shift on the anti-solenoid current. In minimum it yields an optimal ratio of the main and compensating fields of the detector (Fig.15). Thus the betatron coupling (down to $\sim 1\%$ in the anti-solenoid current) and the systematic energy error (down to ~ 1 keV) are minimized.



Figure 14: Correlation of the daily energy oscillations with the mean orbit radius deviations measured by BPMs.

Special experiments on the distinct-in-time comparison of electron and positron energies (Fig.16) as well as



Figure 15: Measured and calculated spin tune shifts related to decompensation of the KEDR field.



Figure 16: Distinct-in-time comparison of electron and positron beam energies. The measurements in the series of consecutive energy calibrations with electrostatic orbit bumps turned on.

on the simultaneous measurements of these beams energies demonstrated that a difference between electron and positron energies makes up a value of the order of 1 keV due to electrostatic orbit separation in parasitic IPs in accordance with estimates.

7. Mass measurements at VEPP-4M

The VEPP-4 Complex has most rich history of mass measurement experiments in comparison with other laboratories (Tab.3). In the new series of such experiments at the modernized collider VEPP-4M an acuracy of the mass measurement of the charmed mesons J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ was improved in several times. Masses of only the five elementary particles are known with less uncertainties at present (Tab.4).

Tau lepton mass measurement was performed at energies in vicinity of the tau production threshold where

Particle	M, MeV	Accuracy $\Delta E/E$	Detector	Years
J/ψ	3096.93 ± 0.1	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$	OLYA	1979-1980
$\psi(2S)$	3685.00 ± 0.12	$3.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	OLYA	1979-1980
Υ	$9460.57 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.05$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$	MD-1	1983-1985
$\Upsilon(2S)$	10023.5 ± 0.5	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$	MD-1	1983-1985
$\Upsilon(3S)$	10355.2 ± 0.5	$4.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	MD-1	1983-1985
J/ψ	$3096.917 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.007$	$3.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	KEDR	2002-2008
$\psi(2S)$	$3686.119 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.010$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$	KEDR	2002-2008
$\psi(3770)$	$3772.9 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06$	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	KEDR	2002-2008
D^0	$1865.43 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.38$	$3.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$	KEDR	2002-2008
D^+	$1863.39 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.29$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	KEDR	2002-2008
τ	$1776.69^{+0.17}_{-0.19} \pm 0.15$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	KEDR	2002-2008

Table 3: Mass measurements at VEPP-4: history

polarization in the VEPP-3 booster is not available. We could apply the RD technique in such conditions by a following way. The polarization is obtained at an energy of 80 MeV above the integer spin resonance. After injection of the polarized beam its energy is lowered down to the tau threshold. At that the lifetime of polarization at VEPP-4M lasts out to calibrate energy by the spin frequency. Data on joint application of the RD technique and the CBS monitor in the tau mass measurement are presented above. The τ lepton mass measurement with the detector KEDR improved the accuracy of the lepton universality test.

Particle	$\Delta m/m$, ppm
n	0.02
p	0.02
e	0.02
μ	0.03
$\pi^\pm$	2.5
J/ψ	3.5
ψ'	3.8
π^0	4.4

Table 4: Mass measurement accuracy. Only five particle masses are measured with higher accuracy in regard to our results on J/ψ and ψ'.

8. Summary

Since 2002 we have performed a series of mass measurement experiments with KEDR detector using RD technique for absolute beam energy calibration of 10^{-6} accuracy. Masses of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ (the best accuracy); D^0 (the second result after CLEO); $D^\pm$ (the best direct measurement), tau-lepton (the best result at present) have been measured. News were application of CBS for monitoring the energy (50-100 keV) between RD calibrations and the energy spread ($7-10\%$) in these experiments . Touschek polarimeter used for RD at VEPP-4M works very well at $E < 2$ GeV but will be rather not effective at $E > 4GeV$ because of considerable decrease of effect and counting rate with energy (We consider laser polarimeter as alternative for possible mass measurements in the range of Υ resonance family).

References

[1] V. E. Blinov, V. S. Bobrovnikov, K. V. Zolotarev, V. A. Kiselev, S. A. Kononov, G. Y. Kurkin, E. B. Levichev, O. I. Meshkov, N. Y. Muchnoi, S. A. Nikiti, D. M. Nikoilenko, D. P. Sukhanov, Y. A. Tikhonov, B. P. Tolochko, G. M. Tumaikin, A. G. Shamov, D. N. Shatilov, The status of VEPP-4, Physics of Particles and Nuclei Letters 11 (5) (2014) 620–631. doi:10.1134/S1547477114050082.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S1547477114050082`

[2] V. Anashin, V. Aulchenko, E. Baldin, A. Barladyan, A. Y. Barnyakov, et al., The KEDR detector, Phys.Part.Nucl. 44 (2013) 657–702. doi:10.1134/S1063779613040035.

[3] V. M. Aulchenko, S. Balashov, I. Nikolaev, et al., New precision measurement of the J/ψ- and ψ' - meson masses, Phys. Lett. B573 (2003) 63–79. arXiv:hep-ex/0306050, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2003.08.028.

[4] V. V. Anashin, V. M. Aulchenko, E. M. Baldin, et al., Measurement of psi(3770) parameters, Physics Letters B 711 (34) (2012) 292–300. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.04.019.
URL `http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037026931`

[5] V. V. Anashin, V. M. Aulchenko, E. M. Baldin, et al., Measurement of D-0 and D+ meson masses with the KEDR detector, Physics Letters B 686 (2-3) (2010) 84–90. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2010.02.046.
URL `http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037026931`

[6] V. V. Anashin, V. Aulchenko, I. Nikolaev, et al., Measurement of the tau lepton mass at the KEDR detector, JETP Lett. 85 (2007) 347–352. doi:10.1134/S0021364007080012.

[7] A. Bukin, Y. Derbenev, A. Kodratenko, et al., Method of absolute beam energy calibration. ϕ-meson mass measurement, High energy and elementary particle, Dubna. Proc. of V Int. Symp. High Energy and Particle Physics (1975) 138.

[8] V. E. Blinov, A. V. Bogomyagkov, I. B. Nikolaev, N. Y. Muchnoi, S. A. Nikitin, A. G. Shamov, V. N. Zhilich, Review of beam energy measurements at VEPP-4M collider: KEDR/VEPP-4M, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A598 (1) (2009) 23–30. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2008.08.078.

[9] V. E. Blinov, et al., Beam energy and energy spread measurement by Compton backscattering of laser radiation at the VEPP-4M collider, ICFA Beam Dyn. Newslett. 48 (2009) 195–207.

[10] M. Dyug, A. Grigoriev, V. Kiselev, B. Lazarenko, E. Levichev, A. Mikaiylov, S. Mishnev, S. Nikitin, D. Nikolenko, I. Rachek, Y. Shestakov, D. Toporkov, S. Zevakov, V. Zhilich, Moller polarimeter for VEPP-3 storage ring based on internal polarized gas jet target, NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS & METHODS IN PHYSICS RESEARCH SECTION A-ACCELERATORS SPECTROMETERS DETECTORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT 536 (3) (2005) 338–343, 10th Workshop on Polarized Sources and Targets, Russian Acad Sci, Budker Inst Nucl Phys, Siberian Branch, Novosibirsk, RUSSIA, SEP 22-26, 2003. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2004.08.095.

[11] S. A. Nikitin, I. B. Nikolaev, Calculation of the intensity of Touschek electrons in the VEPP-4M storage ring, Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics 115 (1) (2012) 36–47. doi:10.1134/S1063776112060106.
URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S1063776112060106`

[12] Y. S. Derbenev, A. M. Kondratenko, A. N. Skrinsky, Radiative polarization at ultrahigh-energies, Part. Accel. 9 (1979) 247–266.

[13] S. Nikitin, Calculation of depolarizer with transverse field for precision expereiment at VEPP-4M, preprint BINP SB RAS (in russian) 2005-054.

[14] V. Blinov, A. Bogomyagkov, I. Nikolaev, G. Karpov, V. Kiselev, E. Levichev, S. Nikitin, E. Shubin, G. Tumaikin, Study of the possibility of increasing the accuracy of CPT Invariance Test at electron-positron storage rings, ICFA Beam Dynamics Newsletter 48 (2009) 207–217.

[15] O. V. Anchugov, V. E. Blinov, A. V. Bogomyagkov, A. A. Volkov, A. N. Zhuravlev, S. E. Karnaev, V. A. Kiselev, E. B. Levichev, O. I. Meshkov, S. I. Mishnev, I. I. Morozov, N. Y. Muchnoi, S. A. Nikitin, I. B. Nikolaev, V. V. Petrov, P. A. Piminov, E. A. Simonov, S. V. Sinyatkin, A. N. Skrinskii, V. V. Smaluk, Y. A. Tikhonov, G. M. Tumaikin, V. M. Tsukanov, A. G. Shamov, D. N. Shatilov, D. A. Shvedov, Use of the methods of accelerator physics in precision measurements of particle masses at the VEPP-4 complex with the KEDR detector, INSTRUMENTS AND EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES 53 (1) (2010) 15–28. doi:10.1134/S0020441210010021.

[16] V. Blinov, A. Bogomyagkov, V. Kiselev, S. Nikitin, I. Protopopov, K. Todyshev, G. Tumaikin, Analysis of errors and estimation of accuracy in the experiment on precise mass measurement of J/psi, psi ‘ mesons and taulepton on the VEPP-4M collider, NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS & METHODS IN PHYSICS RESEARCH SECTION A-ACCELERATORS SPECTROMETERS DETECTORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT 494 (1-3) (2002) 68–74, 8th International Conference on Instrumentation for Colliding Beam Physics, BUDKER INST NUCLEAR PHYS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA, FEB 28-MAR 06, 2002. doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(02)01447-X.

[17] A. Bogomyagkov, S. Nikitin, A. Shamov, Influence of the vertical closed orbit distortions on accuracy of the energy calibration done by resonant depolarization technique, Conf.Proc. RUPAC-2006 (2006) 153.

[18] A. Bogomyagkov, S. Nikitin, I. Nikolaev, A. Shamov, et al., Central mass energy detirmanation in high precision experiments on vepp4-m, Conf.Proc. PAC-2007.

[19] S. Nikitin, Influence of errors in kedr detector field compensation on the spin tune shift and the beam polarization lifetime in vepp-4m collider at energy of tau lepton production threshold, Conf.Proc. RUPAC-2006 (2006) 150–152.

[20] A. Bogomyagkov, S. Nikitin, V. Telonv, G. Tumaikin, Estimation of errors in definition of central mass energy in high precision experiments on colliding beams, Conf.Proc. APAC-2004 (2004) TUP–11002.